



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

Open Access, Refereed Journal Multi Disciplinary
Peer Reviewed Edition :

www.ijlra.com

DISCLAIMER

No part of this publication may be reproduced or copied in any form by any means without prior written permission of Managing Editor of IJLRA. The views expressed in this publication are purely personal opinions of the authors and do not reflect the views of the Editorial Team of IJLRA.

Though every effort has been made to ensure that the information in Volume 2 Issue 7 is accurate and appropriately cited/referenced, neither the Editorial Board nor IJLRA shall be held liable or responsible in any manner whatsoever for any consequences for any action taken by anyone on the basis of information in the Journal.

Copyright © International Journal for Legal Research & Analysis

IJLRA

EDITORIAL TEAM

EDITORS

Megha Middha



Megha Middha, Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar

Megha Middha, is working as an Assistant Professor of Law in Mody University of Science and Technology, Lakshmangarh, Sikar (Rajasthan). She has an experience in the teaching of almost 3 years. She has completed her graduation in BBA LL.B (H) from Amity University, Rajasthan (Gold Medalist) and did her post-graduation (LL.M in Business Laws) from NLSIU, Bengaluru. Currently, she is enrolled in a Ph.D. course in the Department of Law at Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur (Rajasthan). She wishes to excel in academics and research and contribute as much as she can to society. Through her interactions with the students, she tries to inculcate a sense of deep thinking power in her students and enlighten and guide them to the fact how they can bring a change to the society

Dr. Samrat Datta

Dr. Samrat Datta Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Samrat Datta is currently associated with Seedling School of Law and Governance, Jaipur National University, Jaipur. Dr. Datta has completed his graduation i.e., B.A.LL.B. from Law College Dehradun, Hemvati Nandan Bahuguna Garhwal University, Srinagar, Uttarakhand. He is an alumnus of KIIT University, Bhubaneswar where he pursued his post-graduation (LL.M.) in Criminal Law and subsequently completed his Ph.D. in Police Law and Information Technology from the Pacific Academy of Higher Education and Research University, Udaipur in 2020. His area of interest and research is Criminal and Police Law. Dr. Datta has a teaching experience of 7 years in various law schools across North India and has held administrative positions like Academic Coordinator, Centre Superintendent for Examinations, Deputy Controller of Examinations, Member of the Proctorial Board



Dr. Namita Jain



Head & Associate Professor

School of Law, JECRC University, Jaipur Ph.D. (Commercial Law) LL.M., UGC -NET Post Graduation Diploma in Taxation law and Practice, Bachelor of Commerce.

Teaching Experience: 12 years, AWARDS AND RECOGNITION of Dr. Namita Jain are - ICF Global Excellence Award 2020 in the category of educationalist by I Can Foundation, India. India Women Empowerment Award in the category of "Emerging Excellence in Academics by Prime Time & Utkrisht Bharat Foundation, New Delhi.(2020). Conferred in FL Book of Top 21 Record Holders in the category of education by Fashion Lifestyle Magazine, New Delhi. (2020). Certificate of Appreciation for organizing and managing the Professional Development Training Program on IPR in Collaboration with Trade Innovations Services, Jaipur on March 14th, 2019

Mrs.S.Kalpana

Assistant professor of Law

Mrs.S.Kalpana, presently Assistant professor of Law, VelTech Rangarajan Dr. Sagunthala R & D Institute of Science and Technology, Avadi. Formerly Assistant professor of Law, Vels University in the year 2019 to 2020, Worked as Guest Faculty, Chennai Dr.Ambedkar Law College, Pudupakkam. Published one book. Published 8 Articles in various reputed Law Journals. Conducted 1 Moot court competition and participated in nearly 80 National and International seminars and webinars conducted on various subjects of Law. Did ML in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice Administration. 10 paper presentations in various National and International seminars. Attended more than 10 FDP programs. Ph.D. in Law pursuing.



Avinash Kumar



Avinash Kumar has completed his Ph.D. in International Investment Law from the Dept. of Law & Governance, Central University of South Bihar. His research work is on "International Investment Agreement and State's right to regulate Foreign Investment." He qualified UGC-NET and has been selected for the prestigious ICSSR Doctoral Fellowship. He is an alumnus of the Faculty of Law, University of Delhi. Formerly he has been elected as Students Union President of Law Centre-1, University of Delhi. Moreover, he completed his LL.M. from the University of Delhi (2014-16), dissertation on "Cross-border Merger & Acquisition"; LL.B. from the University of Delhi (2011-14), and B.A. (Hons.) from Maharaja Agrasen College, University of Delhi. He has also obtained P.G. Diploma in IPR from the Indian Society of International Law, New Delhi. He has qualified UGC - NET examination and has been awarded ICSSR - Doctoral Fellowship. He has published six-plus articles and presented 9 plus papers in national and international seminars/conferences. He participated in several workshops on research methodology and teaching and learning.

ABOUT US

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS
ISSN

2582-6433 is an Online Journal is Monthly, Peer Review, Academic Journal, Published online, that seeks to provide an interactive platform for the publication of Short Articles, Long Articles, Book Review, Case Comments, Research Papers, Essay in the field of Law & Multidisciplinary issue. Our aim is to upgrade the level of interaction and discourse about contemporary issues of law. We are eager to become a highly cited academic publication, through quality contributions from students, academics, professionals from the industry, the bar and the bench. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LEGAL RESEARCH & ANALYSIS ISSN 2582-6433 welcomes contributions from all legal branches, as long as the work is original, unpublished and is in consonance with the submission guidelines.

“ROLE OF DIRECTORS IN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE”

AUTHORED BY - MRITUNJAY PRATAP SINGH

A3211120313

Abstract

The new Companies Act, 2013 makes a praiseworthy commitment towards expectation and clarification of the obligations of the overseers of an organization, more so of public restricted companies. [i] It eliminated lack of the old Companies Act, 1956 and works on the development and thriving of the corporate world in India. It expanded the ambit of director's obligations and unequivocally explains (for giving a more noteworthy assurance to the directors with respect to their obligations and direct) them and consequently, guarantees a superior corporate administration and the executives.

The working of the corporate administration is concerned primarily with the Board of Directors. Directors are named by the investors, who sets the general arrangement for the organization, and they delegate a few people to be the overseeing chief/leader chief/entire time chief by the earlier endorsement of investors.

Introduction

In Indian States Bank Ltd. v Sardar Singh[ii], it was held that the administration of the organizations ought to be in appropriate hands and henceforth, the arrangement of directors is totally controlled by the said Act. The progress of the organization relies on the ability of its directors.

The board's main capacity is to screen the executives for the benefit of the investors. Along these lines, directors and investors are affected by one another and for quality administration, there should be a point of interaction between them. The directors need to keep a harmony between the clashing interests of investors, advertisers, clients and directors. Consequently, they are the essence of an organization.

Area 2(34) of Indian Companies Act, 2013 characterizes chief as a individual delegated to the leading group of a company. [iii] This definition included by law directors as well as accepted and shadow directors. A chief is characterized by the job he performs and his obligations, instead of by title. In this way, a chief (according to regulation) could likewise be an individual who controls the administration, heading, lead or undertakings of the organization.

According to the Companies Act, 2013, Section 2(10) Board of Directors or Board, comparable to an organization, implies the aggregate body of the heads of the company. [iv] A chief can be a regular working chief for example overseeing or entire time chief. These directors care for the everyday issues of the organization and are all in all known as management' directors.

An organization additionally have non-leader directors who go to the executive gatherings and have no connection with organization's everyday exercises. According to Clause 49 of the posting understanding, there are autonomous directors likewise who are non-leader, and they don't have a material relationship with the organization other than sitting in the board. There is one more classification of directors known as shadow directors who are not authoritatively designated as directors however as per whose bearings, the heads of an organization are familiar with act. [v] Thus, directors are the vital administrative people of the organization and assumes a significant part in corporate administration.

The Board of Director- Roles and Responsibilities

The Board of Directors key capacity is to guarantee the organization's flourishing while meeting the fitting interests of the investors. Be that as it may, the power of the board is dependent upon the limits forced by the Memorandum of Association, Articles of Association of the organization and the important arrangements of the Companies Act, 2013.[vi] When it comes to public recorded organizations, protections are exchanged publically and different arrangements like SEBI guidelines and rules in the posting understanding merit thought.

While private restricted organizations are firmly held and run by the directors. Yearly comprehensive gatherings in such organizations are really led as there are sure headings which must be given by a conversation in AGM. Rest everyday issues of the organization are dealt with by the directors as indicated by the arrangement of Companies Act, 2013 as it isn't feasible for AGM to coordinate organization in each matter.

The Board of Directors centers around four key regions:

- by laying out vision, mission and values;
- by setting methodology and design;
- by appointing authority and obligation to the board; and,
- by practicing responsibility to investors and be mindful to applicable partners. [vii]

According to Section 166 of the Companies Act, 2013 the obligations of the chief are:

- They ought to act as per the Articles of an organization.
- An overseer of the organization will act sincerely to advance the objects of the organization for the advantages of its individuals in general. It was likewise held in Bank of Poona Ltd. v Narayandas that the great confidence would expect that every one of the undertakings of the directors should be coordinated to the advantage of the organization. [viii]
- A due and sensible consideration, expertise and persistence will be practiced which performing obligations of a chief. The Supreme Court on account of Official Liquidator v. P.A. Tendolkar, expected that a chief could be held to take responsibility for forsakenness of obligations assuming his carelessness is of such person as to empower cheats to be submitted and misfortunes in this way caused by the company.[ix]
- A chief ought to never include into a circumstance which straightforwardly or in a roundabout way slams into the interests of the organization. In Walchandnagar Industries v Ratan chand, it was held that the chief's different obligations would incorporate obligation to reveal interest to the organization and to guarantee that his own advantage as a specialist of the organization don't struggle with organization's chief interest. [x]
- A chief will not endeavor to accomplish an unjustifiable increase for himself or his family members and on the off chance that he is seen as at real fault for making such excessive benefit, he needs to pay an aggregate equivalent to that addition to the organization. It was held on account of Guinness plc v. Saunders that chief being referred to will undoubtedly surrender the advantages, if any, that he could have gotten under the exchange and he can't request set out for any case that he might have against the organization. [xi]
- A chief will not appoint his office and any task so made is void.[xii]

For better administration, the board ought to work as follows-the directors should be completely dedicated to the organization, ought to meet routinely and steer conversations appropriately. They ought to lay out up their boundaries and afterward followed up on them. They should dare to shift

focus over to any decaying circumstance connected with securities exchange, finance and particularly upright issues. They shouldn't practice the powers for their own or in a trustee limit yet for a legitimate reason, for which they are given to them by the investors.

The Supreme Court in *Eclairs Group Ltd and Glengary Overseas Ltd v JKY* indicated that the legitimate reason rule isn't worried about abundance of force by doing a demonstration which is past the extent of the instrument making it as an issue of development or suggestion. It is worried about maltreatment of force, by doing acts which are inside its degree yet done for an ill-advised purpose. [xiii]

The directors should continuously search for the wellbeing of the organization and ought to work genuinely and sincerely and if there is a contention between their own advantages and friends', they should go for the organization's advantage. The Board has an incredible obligation of enlisting the CEO of the organization considering the market reports. They need to guarantee that cycles are set up to keep up with the honesty of the organization and ought to likewise view the organization's consistence with all lawful prerequisites.

Role of Independent Directors

The overhauled provision 49 of the posting understanding states that in the event that an organization has chief administrator, the Board expects to have somewhere around 50% of autonomous directors and in the event that an organization has non-leader executive, the free directors required are 33% of the board.

A free chief is a non-leader chief who keeps up with respectability, feeling of responsibility, tracks different exercises of the organization from disappointments to accomplishments, designs in a calculated manner, level of responsibility and have feeling of dedication. Neither one of the they have any monetary relationship with the organization (aside from the sitting charges) nor can possess shares in the organization.

The absolute most huge obligations and elements of autonomous directors according to Schedule IV of the Companies Act, 2013 are:

- Help in carrying an autonomous and fair judgment to the board;
- Defend the interests, all things considered, especially the minority investor;

- balance the clashing interest of the partners;
- Endeavor to go to every one of the gatherings of the Board;
- Report worries about unscrupulous way of behaving, real or associated extortion or infringement with the company's set of principles or morals policy.[xiv]

Independent directors assumes a significant part in working on the corporate validity of the organization and in risk the board. They likewise assume an incredible part in different councils set up by the organization to guarantee great administration. They should cosmetics something like 66% of the directors in the review boards of trustees of recorded organizations to direct the monetary detailing interaction and revelation of the organization's monetary data, guarantee consistence with posting and other legitimate prerequisites, divulgence of related party exchanges and capability in the draft review report, in addition to other things. [xv]

Independent Directors are liable for forming business procedures for the investors and need to ensure that all business exercises are viable with all legitimate arrangements. These directors have ability to challenge the choice of the executives directors and this safeguards the interests of investors and different partners too.

Job of Board Committees

The councils are fused into the organization to work on the corporate administration. The Board (of the organization) will involve following advisory groups:

Review panel:

Segment 292A of the Companies Act, 1956 states that each open restricted organization (whether recorded or unlisted) having a settled up capital of somewhere around Rs.10 crore ought to establish a panel of the board to be known as Audit Committee.[xvi]

The gatherings of this panel ought to occur something like a few times each year and ideally before the date of each Board meeting. The demonstration gives that the Audit Committee will comprise of at least three directors with autonomous directors shaping a majority.[xvii] The elements of the Audit board of trustees will incorporate the suggestion for arrangement, compensation and terms of arrangement of inspectors of the organization; survey and screen of the examiner's freedom and execution and adequacy of review process; assessment of the budget summary and the evaluator's

report consequently; Approval of any resulting change of exchange of the organization with related parties; Scrutiny of between corporate advances and ventures; Valuation of endeavors or resources of the organization, any place it is fundamental; Evaluation of interior monetary controls and chance administration frameworks; Monitoring the end utilization of assets raised through open offers and related matters.[xviii]

The advisory group can likewise require the remarks of the evaluators about the interior control frameworks and the survey of the budget summary before the accommodation to the Board.[xix] Satyam embarrassment is one of the greatest illustration of lacuna in inner examining process. The reviewers work yielded no great outcome, and they marked the fiscal reports with next to no earlier assessment and thus were considered answerable for misrepresentation.

Selection and Remuneration Committee:

the Objective of this advisory group is to set out a system comparable to the compensation and arrangement of directors, Key Managerial Personnel and senior administration personnel.[xx] This council comprises of at least three non-leader directors out of which at the very least one- half will be free directors.[xxi] The elements of this panel are-it ought to recognize people who are able to become directors and prescribe their arrangement to the Board. [xxii]

It will form the measures for deciding the capabilities of a chief and prescribe an approach to the Board with respect to the compensation for directors and other employees.[xxiii] The panel while forming the strategy for compensation ought to take care that it is sensible and rouse heads of the quality expected to run the company.[xxiv]

Partners' relationship advisory group:

This advisory group will be comprised assuming BOD of the organization comprises of more than one investors, debenture-holders, store holders or some other security holder during the monetary year. The said council will comprise of a be the non-chief administrator chief and such different people as might be chosen by the Board.[xxv] The target of this advisory group is to tackle the complaints of safety holders of an organization.

According to the SEBI guidelines, the advisory group will meet no less than once in year. The way in to a decent administration is to direct business in such a way that the partner's privileges and

interests are safeguarded and the straightforwardness is kept up with to guarantee that the trust and certainty of the partner in the organization stays safe. Accordingly, this board of trustees assumes an incredible part in accomplishing the goal of good corporate administration.

Design, Size and Composition of Board of Directors

As per Section 149 of the Companies Act, 2013, each organization should have a base number of three directors in the event of a public organization, two if there should be an occurrence of a privately owned business and one if there should arise an occurrence of a one-individual organization; and a limit of fifteen directors (the quantity of most extreme directors can be expanded by passing an exceptional goal). The Central government might recommend the class of organizations who are expected to have something like one ladies chief. Each open recorded organization will have something like 33% of the all-out number of directors as free directors. [xxvi]

Under LODR (Listing commitment and exposure necessity), for recorded organizations, the individuals from the board will have an ideal blend of leader and non-chief directors and no less than one lady's director. [xxvii] At least half of the directorate should be non-chief directors. The size of the board ought not be excessively little or large as little size considers truly essential choices, are more durable and useful and screen the firm more actually while bigger board brings about assorted insight and perspectives. They include high coordination cost and along these lines less successful in observing.

Variety in the event of enormous sheets incorporates identity, orientation, specialized skill, scholastic capabilities and age. Orientation variety is the applicable part of board variety and organizations ought to have ladies in the board. The board would be viewed as viable by its size, socioeconomics and variety.

Powers of Board of Directors

According to Section 179 (1) of the Companies Act:

The Board of Directors of an organization will be qualified for practice every single such power, and to do every single such demonstration and things, as the organization is approved to practice and do except if banned by the limitation on their power by the update or articles or by the arrangements of the Companies Act. [xxviii]

It isn't in question that directors while practicing their powers don't go about as specialists for most of the individuals, so the goal passed by most of individuals can't supplant chief's power.

The powers of the board are restricted with the directors and they alone can practice these powers. The best way to overrule the BOD's of an organization is by modifying the articles of affiliation and declining to reappoint the directors, whose activities they disapprove. [xxix] The investors additionally can't remove the powers which are conceded to them by the Articles.

Subsequently, the connection between Board of Directors and the investors isn't of subjection however even more an organization. The powers conceded to directors incorporates the option to inquire as to whether cash is neglected on their portion, ability to give debentures, ability to contribute the assets of the organization, to allow credits or give security in regard of advances, to support fiscal report, mixtures and consolidations, to expand the matter of the organization and the ability to approve purchase back.[xxx] Although, the directors can designate these powers (by a goal passed at the gathering) to any council of directors yet at the same time the chief powers vests with the Board of directors themselves.

Aside from this, BoD has abilities to top off relaxed opportunities in the workplace of directors (Section 161), ability to comprise review board of trustees (Section 177), to make gift to ideological groups (Section 182), ability to accord sanctions for determined agreements (Section 459), ability to get notice of revelation of chief's advantage (Section 184), ability to delegate or utilize an individual as Managing Director or Manager (Section 152 (2)), ability to make an assertion of dissolvability, where it is proposed to end up the organization deliberately (305), ability to endorse the text of publicizing for welcoming public stores (Section 73). A portion of the powers must be practiced by the goal passed at the gathering by the assent of directors according to Section 180.

Correlation with an unfamiliar purview (US)

US supposedly is liberal while choosing the job of the Directors as a concise note though India plays a point by point part in individual laws.[xxxii] The essential wellspring of rules and guidelines in India is the Companies Act and SEBI guidelines while in the US, it is state corporate regulations and government protections regulations. In the United States, at the government level,

the SEC (Securities and Exchange Commission) has the ability to manage and implement the protections act while in India, MCA (Ministry of Corporate Affairs) is the zenith body and SEBI is the legal body which regulates corporate administration.

At the state level, there is no legal body. In the US, state enterprise regulations are apathetic regarding greatest or least board size and along these lines, number of directors shift starting with one organization then onto the next while in India, each open organization will have least of three directors and privately owned business to have something like two directors.

In the US, the NYSE (New York Stock Exchange) posting norms expect that most of the recorded organization's chief to be free. While in India, according to Companies Act, each recorded organization ought to have something like 33% of the complete number of directors as autonomous directors. In the US, there are no particular arrangements which characterizes the term of directors while in India, non-leader directors for example free directors will not serve for over 5 years. In the US, the public organizations are expected to uncover their board administration structure whether a similar individual fills in as the CEO or the top of the board.

In India, organizations act alongside condition 49 is quiet on this. By and large recorded organizations uncover their corporate administration structure under board synthesis segment which is a piece of yearly report.[xxxii] In the US, there is no share for ladies on sheets except for they advance orientation variety while in India, an organization board should comprise of something like one female chief.

The US corporate administration has three boards for example review, assigning and pay. Then again, India has review, investors and compensation board. Pay board in US and compensation advisory group in India both have comparative plans that is to screen the compensation to the directors of the organization. Like US (straightforwardly got from state), India additionally have the inborn abilities of appointment which isn't reliant upon investors. Subsequently, we can say that, in the two nations, the thing that matters is the methodology of the controllers and backing of the partners in executing something similar.

Conclusion

According to regulation, organization is a counterfeit individual which has no actual presence and no body or soul. Consequently, directors are the people who follow up for the benefit of it. They are designated by the investors of the organization to set the general arrangement for the partnership. The BOD aids corporate administration by prompting the chief administration and by taking key choices. The job and obligations of the governing body change contingent upon the idea of the position and the business substance they works.

The board councils are contained particular gathering who centre around unambiguous workspaces to make great corporate administration. In India, the job and obligations of top managerial staff relies on the guidelines in the Articles of the organization and the Companies Act. With regards to recorded public organization, the different rules and arrangements of SEBI merits thought.

End Notes

- i. Shodhganga: A Reservoir Of Indian Theses @ INFLIBNET (Sg.inflibnet.ac.in, 2020) accessed 1 December 2020
- ii. AIR 1934 ALL 855.
- iii. Indian Companies Act, 2013, Section 2 (34).
- iv. Indian Companies Act, 2013, Section 2 (10).
- v. Court Says 'Shadow' Directors Can Be Subject To Directors' Fiduciary Duties' (Helix Law, 2020) accessed 1 December 2020.
- vi. Board Of Directors | Roles | Duties & Responsibilities' (Accountlearning.com, 2020) accessed 2 December 2020.
- vii. Ibid.
- viii. AIR 1961 Bom 252 at 253.
- ix. {1973} 43 Com cases 382.
- x. AIR 1953 Bom 285.
- xi. {1990} 1 All ER 652 HL.
- xii. Indian Companies Act, 2013, Section 166.
- xiii. [2015] UKSC 71.

- xiv. Indian Companies Act, 2013, Schedule IV.
- xv. Who Are Independent Directors And What Role They Play - The Economic Times' (The Economic Times, 2020) accessed 5 December 2020
- xvi. Companies Rules, 2014, Chapter XII, Rule 6.
- xvii. The Companies Act, 2013, Section 177 (2).
- xviii. The Companies Act, 2013, Section 177 (4).
- xix. The Companies Act, 2013, Section 177 (5).
- xx. Taxguru LLP, 'Nomination And Remuneration Committee' (TaxGuru, 2020) accessed 3 December 2020.
- xxi. The Companies Act, 2013, Section 178 (1).
- xxii. The Companies Act, 2013, Section 178 (2).
- xxiii. The Companies Act, 2013, Section 178 (3)
- xxiv. The Companies Act, 2013, Section 178 (4).
- xxv. The Companies Act, 2013, Section 178 (5).
- xxvi. The Companies Act, 2013, Section 149 (4).
- xxvii. Board of directors- Composition' (toppr, 2020)
<https://www.toppr.com/guides/business-law-cs/elements-of-company-law-ii/board-of-directors-composition/> accessed 1 December 2020.
- xxviii. Indian Companies Act, 2013, Section 179 (1).
- xxix. Sumaira Jan and Mohi-ud-din Sangmi, 'The Role Of Board Of Directors In Corporate Governance' (2016) 2 Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary research accessed 1 December 2020.
- xxx. The Companies Act, 2013, Section 179 (3).
- xxxii. (Iicjlaw.com, 2020) accessed 4 December 2020.
- xxxiii. '(PDF) Corporate Board Structure In The United States And India: A Comparative View' (ResearchGate, 2020). accessed 3 December 2020.